

Evolutionary Biology Textbooks: Bankrupt Behavioral Science on Origins

Hard natural empirical science involves objective analysis – detached investigation, quantification, and classification (inductive reasoning), as in chemistry and physics.

Soft human behavioral science includes subjective motivations – assumed values, attitudes, tastes, and preferences (deductive reasoning), as in sociology and psychology.

On origins, evolutionary Biology textbooks are *models of rhetoric* in soft human behavioral science, *not manuals of research* in hard natural empirical science, because they OPPORTUNISTICALLY claim that ...

“The uniformitarian present* is the key to the past.”

(*i.e., long ages)

EXCEPT WHEN IT IS NOT – NAMELY, WHEN

The non-uniformitarian past is the key to the present.**

(**i.e., life from non-life)

1

Is the uniformitarian present the key to a non-uniformitarian past, and vice versa?
If the present is uniformitarian, why was not the past?
If the past was non-uniformitarian, why is not the present?

Because each of the two circularly-reasoned **conflicting claims** above is supposedly true only if and when it upholds evolutionary Biology on origins, and allegedly false only if and when it upends evolutionary Biology on origins.

2

3

But if the present, like the past, is non-uniformitarian, long ages may be unnecessary. Or if the past, like the present, was uniformitarian, life did not arise from non-life.

If both **clashing statements** above are equally true, they are likewise equally false, because they are mutually exclusive. “**Uniformitarian non-uniformitarianism**” and “**non-uniformitarian uniformitarianism**” are their inevitable *reductio ad absurdum*.

4

5

With philosophical naturalism the mandated end and a nature-centered view of origins the necessary means, **chaos, illogic, contradiction, incoherence, irrationality, and self-refutation** taint evolutionary Biology textbooks on origins.

Feigned synthesis of the two **antitheses** above, with non-sequitur evolutionary origins premised on philosophical naturalism, is quasi-religious pseudo-science. Any total view of reality is a religion. Not all religions are theistic.

6

7

On origins, evolutionary Biology textbooks tout philosophical naturalism, not the study of nature; and pitch soft human behavioral science, not hard natural empirical science.

Epitaph for a Tattered TEXTile

'Midst this flawed cloth a snag doth run.
When tugged, with warp and woof undone,
That fatal thread relentlessly
Unravels the whole tapestry.

It frays, by false assumptions roiled;
It rips, by cynic crafters spoiled –
Strategic stitchings cleft apart,
A ragged fabric, shredded art.

Asunder thru these blunders torn
From self-destructive weaving worn,
No emperor's new clothes can hide
Such **naturalistic suicide**.